## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**District of New Mexico** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

**Judgment in a Criminal Case** (For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

**Marvin Deschinny** 

Case Number: 1:06CR00753-001JB

USM Number: 32047-051

Defense Attorney: Steve McCue, Appointed

THE DEFENI	DANT:	·	· ••	
	guilt to violations of condition(s) <b>Special</b> d in violation of condition(s) after denial			
The defendant	is adjudicated guilty of these violations:			
Violation Number	Nature of Violation		Violation Ended	
1	Special - The defendant failed to reside community corrections center for a periapproved by the probation officer.	1 1 0	09/28/2010	
The defendant Reform Act of	is sentenced as provided in pages 1 throug 1984.	h 2 of this judgment. The sentence	e is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The defer	ndant has not violated condition(s) and is	discharged as to such violation(s)		
name, residenc	ce, or mailing address until all fines, restitu	tion, costs, and special assessmen	this district within 30 days of any change of ts imposed by this judgment are fully paid. I material changes in economic circumstances	
9742		March 18, 2011		
Last Four Dig	its of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.	Date of Imposition of	Judgment	
1975		/s/ James O. Brownin	/s/ James O. Browning	
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge	
ABQ , NM		Honorable James O. United States Distric	9	
City and State	of Defendant's Residence	Name and Title of Jud	ge	
		March 21, 2011		
		Date Signed		

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 2

Defendant: Marvin Deschinny
Case Number: 1:06CR00753-001JB

## **IMPRISONMENT**

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 8 months.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 8 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public and effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:				
	The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:  □ at on □ as notified by the United States Marshal.				
	RETURN				
I hav	ve executed this judgment as follows:				
Defe	rendant delivered ontototo				
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL				
	By				
	DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL				